IN THE UNITED STATES PISTRIGT COURT

RECEIVED CLERK

DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

JUL 1 4 2011

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

DEPUTY CLERK 2:11CR00469 CW

(CASE)

Plaintiff,

ORDER TO UNSEAL INDICTMENT

:

THOMAS MERCED GONZALES, III and

SHELLY ANN TORSON,

VS.

:

Defendants.

:

Based on the motion of the United States, and for good cause appearing, the Court hereby grants government's motion to unseal the indictment (case).

DATED this 15-16 day of July, 2011.

CLARK WADDOUPS

United States District Court Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUL 18 2011 D. MARK JONES, CLERK DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVINGE DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO DISMISS

MISDEMEANOR INFORMATION

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:10-CR-485

v.

BRIAN D. PETERSON,

False Representation

(43 U.S.C. § 1701 and 43 C.F.R.

2933.33(a)(7))

Defendant.

Magistrate Judge Robert T.

Braithwaite

Based upon the Motion of the United States of America, and for good cause appearing, the Court hereby grants the Government leave to the above-captioned Misdemeanor Information, prejudice, under Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

DATED this $\frac{184}{1}$ day of $\frac{1}{1}$ day of $\frac{1}{1}$

BY THE COURT:

United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

ORDER EXTENDING MOTION CUT-OFF DATE

v.

TODD ANDERSON,

Case No. 2:11 CR 498 TS

Defendant.

Based on the motion filed by the defendant, the stipulation of the government, and good cause appearing, it is therefore

ORDERED the Defendant's Motion is GRANTED IN PART and the motion cut-off date is extended to July 20, 2011. The government will have five weeks to respond to any defense motion filed on or before that date, and defense counsel will have two weeks after the government's brief is filed to response. It is further

ORDERED that defendant's request to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to its renewal in the context of a motion to continue the jury trial set for August 22, 2011, if a pretrial motion is filed.

DATED this 18th day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

United States District Judge

United States District Court

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE

JESUS A. ALEMAN

Case	Number:	2.11	-CR-	.600	TS
Casc	number.	2.11		-	\mathbf{L}

IT.	LS	SO	ORDERED	that the rele	ease of the	defendant	is subject to	the follow	ing conditions:
-----	----	----	---------	---------------	-------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----------------

- (1) The defendant shall not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local or tribal law while on release in this case.
- (2) The defendant shall immediately advise the court, defense counsel and the U.S. attorney in writing of any change in address and telephone number.
- (3) The defendant shall appear at all proceedings as required and shall surrender for service of any sentence imposed

as directed. The defendant shall next appear at (if blank, to be notified)	
	PLACE
on	
	DATE AND TIME

Release on Personal Recognizance or Unsecured Bond

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be released provided that:

(**) (4) The defendant promises to appear at all proceedings as required and to surrender for service of any sentence imposed.
 () (5) The defendant executes an unsecured bond binding the defendant to pay the United States the sum of

dollars (\$)

in the event of a failure to appear as required or to surrender as directed for service of any sentence imposed.

Additional Conditions of Release

Upon finding that release by one of the above methods will not by itself reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of other persons and the community, it is FURTHER ORDERED that the release of the defendant is subject to the conditions marked below:

()	(6)	The defendant is placed in the custody of: (Name of person or organization) (Address)
appeara	nce of the	(City and state) (Tel.No.) supervise the defendant in accordance with all the conditions of release, (b) to use every effort to assure the defendant at all scheduled court proceedings, and (c) to notify the court immediately in the event the defendant ditions of release or disappears.
		Signed:
		Custodian or Proxy
(√)(7)	(V)(a) () (b)	endant shall: maintain or actively seek verifiable employment. maintain or commence an educational program. abide by the following restrictions on his personal associations, place of abode, or travel: maintain residence at the address reported to PTS. No change without prior permission of PTS.
	(✓)(d)	avoid all contact with persons, who are considered co-defendant(s), alleged victims or potential witnesses.
	(v)(f) (v)(g)	report on a regular basis to the supervising officer as directed. comply with the following call-in curfew: 10 p.m 6 a.m., unless for employment and with prior approval of PTS. refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon. refrain from excessive use of alcohol. refrain from any use or unlawful possession of a narcotic drug and other controlled substances defined in 21 U.S.C.§802 unless prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner. undergo medical or psychiatric treatment and/or remain in an institution, as follows:
	() (k)	
	() (1)	post with the court the following indicia of ownership of the above-described property, or the following amount or percentage of the above-described money:
	() (m) () (n)	execute a bail bond with solvent sureties in the amount of \$ return to custody each (week)day as of o'clock after being released each (week)day as of) o'clock for employment, schooling or the following limited purpose(s):
		surrender any passport to Clerk of Court within 24 hours of release. obtain no new passport. the defendant will submit to drug/alcohol testing as directed by the pretrial office. If testing reveals illegal drug use, the defendant shall participate in drug and/or alcohol abuse treatment, if deemed advisable by supervising officer. participate in a program of inpatient or outpatient substance abuse therapy and counseling if deemed advisable by the
	() (1)	supervising officer.

() (s) submit to an electronic monitoring program as directed by the supervising officer.

(v)(t) no travel outside the State of Utah without prior permission of the court.

Advice of Penalties and Sanctions

TO THE DEFENDANT:

YOU ARE ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING PENALTIES AND SANCTIONS:

A violation of any of the foregoing conditions of release may result in the immediate issuance of a warrant for your arrest, a revocation of release, an order of detention, and a prosecution for contempt of court and could result in a term of imprisonment, a fine, or both.

The commission of a Federal offense while on pretrial release will result in an additional sentence of a term of imprisonment of not more than ten years, if the offense is a felony; or a term of imprisonment of not more than one year, if the offense is a misdemeanor. This sentence shall be in addition to any other sentence.

Federal law makes it a crime punishable by up to 10 years of imprisonment, and a \$250,000 fine or both to obstruct a criminal investigation. It is a crime punishable by up to ten years of imprisonment and a \$250,000 fine or both to tamper with a witness, victim or informant; to retaliate or attempt to retaliate against a witness, victim or informant; or to intimidate or attempt to intimidate a witness, victim, juror, informant, or officer of the court. The penalties for tampering, retaliation, or intimidation are significantly more serious if they involve a killing or attempted killing.

If after release, you knowingly fail to appear as required by the conditions of release, or to surrender for the service of sentence, you may be prosecuted for failing to appear or surrender and additional punishment may be imposed. If you are convicted of:

- an offense punishable by death, life imprisonment, or imprisonment for a term of fifteen years of more, you shall be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both;
- an offense punishable by imprisonment for a tem of five years or more, but less than fifteen years, you shall be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both;
- (3) any other felony, you shall be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both.
- (4) a misdemeanor, you shall be fined not more than \$100,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

A term of imprisonment imposed for failure to appear or surrender shall be in additions to the sentence for any other offense. In addition, a failure to appear or surrender may result in the forfeiture of any bond posted.

Acknowledgment of Defendant

I acknowledge that I am the defendant in this case and that I am aware of the conditions of release. I promise to obey all conditions of release, to appear as directed, and to surrender for service of any sentence imposed. I am aware of the penalties and sanctions set forth above.

Jew Aleman Signature of Defendant

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

		Address
		City and State Telephone
	Directions	the United States Marshal
(√)		the defendant in custody until notified by the clerk or judicial officer that all other conditions for release. The defendant shall be produced before
Date:	July 18, 2011	1 Janil Mahr

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Jeffery A. Balls (12437) PARR BROWN GEE & LOVELESS 185 South State St., Suite 800 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: (801) 532-7840 Facsimile: (801) 532-7550

iballs@parrbrown.com

2011 JUL 15 P 2: 54 DISTRICT OF UTAH

Brian A. Howie (admitted pro hac vice)

brian.howie@quarles.com

Krystal M. Aspey (admitted pro hac vice)

krystal.aspey@quarles.com

QUARLES & BRADY LLP

Renaissance One

Two North Central Avenue

Phoenix, AZ 8500

Telephone: (602) 229-5200

Attorneys for Defendants Jeff Hooks and Providentia Consulting, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

MONAVIE LLC, a Delaware limited

liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFF HOOKS, an individual, and PROVIDENTÍA CONSULTÍNG, INC. a North Carolina corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS

Case No. 2:11-cv-00264-TS

Honorable Ted Stewart

Based upon the Stipulation and Motion of Defendants Jeff Hooks and Providentia Consulting, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") and Plaintiff MonaVie LLC,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action, and all applicable deadlines, are hereby stayed until agreement by the parties or further order of the Court.

DATED this 15 day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

Judge Ted Stewart

4822-4469-4794, v. 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

FAR WEST BANK, a Division of American West Bank, Spokane, WA,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALTER G. SONNTAG, an individual, and BLAKE J. YERMAN, an individual,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE AMENDED ANSWER

Case No. 2:11-cv-00410-BCW

Judge Brooke C. Wells

Defendants seek leave to amend their Answer.¹ "Plaintiff has no objection to the Court's granting of Defendants' Motion to File Amended Answer'² as long as the Amended Answer is the one submitted by Defendants with their motion.

Accordingly, for good cause shown and based upon Plaintiff's agreement, the Court GRANTS Defendants' Motion to File Amended Answer. Defendants may file the proposed Amended Answer attached to their motion within ten (10) days from the date of this order.

DATED this 18th day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

BROOKE C. WELLS

United States Magistrate Judge

¹Docket no. 26.

²Pla.'s response p. 2.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH DISTRICT OF UTAH DISTRICT OF UTAH

SECURITYNATIONAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,	Case No. 2:11-cv-00434-TS
Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION
v.	
AURORA BANK FSB (formerly known as	
Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB) and AURORA	
LOAN SERVICES LLC,	
	·
Defendants.	

It appearing to the Court that Petitioner meets the pro hac vice admission requirements of DUCiv R 83-1.1(d), the motion for the admission pro hac vice of Michael A. Rollin in the United States District Court, District of Utah in the subject case is GRANTED.

Dated: this 15-th day of July, 2011.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

SECURITYNATIONAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,	Case No. 2:11-cv-00434-TS
Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION
v.	
AURORA BANK FSB (formerly known as Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB) and AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC,	
Defendants	

It appearing to the Court that Petitioner meets the pro hac vice admission requirements of DUCiv R 83-1.1(d), the motion for the admission pro hac vice of **Matthew D. Spohn** in the United States District Court, District of Utah in the subject case is GRANTED.

Dated: this 18th day of July, 2011.

U.S. District Judge

MANNING CURTIS BRADSHAW

& BEDNAR, LLC

Alan C. Bradshaw, #4801 170 South Main, Suite 900

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Telephone: (801) 363-5678 Facsimile: (801) 364-5678

Attorneys for Defendant Dan Nielson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

NUETERRA HEALTHCARE
MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company; NUETERRA HOLDINGS,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
and NUETERRA HOLDINGS
MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company.

Plaintiff,

v.

SCOTT PARRY, M.D.; ROBERT COPY M.D.; SHARON RICHENS, M.D.; JOHN MILLER, M.D.; and DAN NIELSON,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

Civil No. 2:11cv00498

Judge Brooke C. Wells

Pursuant to the Stipulation to Withdraw Motion for Default and For Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. All Defendants are granted an extension to and including July 29, 2011 to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint and Jury Demand.

DATED this 18 day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

Honorable Brooke C. Wells

District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

FILIBERTO VERA and ELIZABETH T. VERA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

1-15,

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP; RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A.; FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION; THE LAW OFFICES OF KRAMER & KASLOW, P.C., and DOES

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY LITIGATION AND ORDERING AN UPDATE ON THE CASE WITHIN SIXTY DAYS

Case No. 2:11-cv-00572-BCW

Judge Brooke C. Wells

Upon consideration of the Stipulated Motion to Stay Litigation (the "Motion") filed by Defendants Bank of America, N.A. (individually and as successor in interest by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP), ReconTrust Company, N.A., and Federal National Mortgage Association and the plaintiffs, Filiberto Vera and Elizabeth T. Vera, the Court finds that the Motion should be granted.

Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that this litigation shall be stayed indefinitely.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that any party may remove the stay and return to active litigation any time prior to dismissal of the case upon fourteen days notice to the other parties and to the Court.

Finally, it is FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are to provide an update on the status of the case in writing to the Court within sixty (60) days from the entry of this order. In the event of settlement the parties are to promptly notify the Court.

DATED: July 18, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

Brooke C. Wells

U.S. Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

YASH TECHNOLOGIES, INC., an Order for Pro Hac Vice Admission Illinois Corporation, Plaintiff Case No. 2:11-cv-00602 BCW V. SUH'DUTSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a Utah Limited Liability Company; CEDAR BAND Judge Brooke C. Wells ENTERPRISES, LLC, a Utah Limited Liability Company; S & T SERVICES, LLC, d/b/a Tikigaq Technologies Services, a Utah Limited Liability Company; SUH'DUTSING STAFFING SERVICES LLC, a Utah Limited Liability Company; SUH'DUTSING TELECOM SERVICES, LLC, a Utah Limited Liability Company; and TRAVIS PARASHONTS, A Utah Resident. Defendants.

It appearing to the Court that Petitioner meets the pro hac vice admission requirements of DUCiv R 83-1.1(d), the motion for the admission pro hac vice of JAMES S. ZMUDA in the United States District Court, District of Utah in the subject case is GRANTED.

Dated: this 18th day of July , 2011 .

U.S. District Judge Magistrate Robert H. Scott (10981)
VAN COTT, BAGLEY, CORNWALL & McCARTHY
26 South State Street Suite 1000

36 South State Street, Suite 1900 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Telephone: (801) 532-3333 Facsimile: (801) 534-0058

michael.wall@akerman.com

rscott@vancott.com

Michael B. Wall (pro hac vice application to be filed) Akerman Senterfitt LLP 511 Sixteenth Street, Suite 420 Denver, CO 80202 O: 303-260-7715 F: 303-260-7714

Attorneys for Defendants America's Wholesale Lender, Bank of America, N.A. as successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, L.P., The Bank of New York Mellon, ReconTrust Company, N.A., and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

MELINDA MACKAY, an individual,

Plaintiff.

v.

AMERICA'S WHOLESALE LENDER, a New York corporation; STEWART T MATHESON, attorney at law; BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP, a Texas limited partnership; THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, a Delaware corporation; RECONTRUST COMPNAY, N.A.; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation; DOES 1-5, unknown parties in interest,

Defendants.

ORDER FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION

(FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6)

Case No. 2:11-cv-00628-DN

Magistrate Judge: David Nuffer

(Filed Electronically)

It appearing to the Court that Petitioner meets the pro hac vice admission requirements of DUCiv R 83-1.1(d), the motion for the admission pro hac vice of Michael B. Wall in the United States District Court, District of Utah in the subject case is GRANTED.

DATED this 18th day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

U.S. Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

				2011 JUL 15 P 1: 37
MICHAEL V	. LUJAN,)	ORDER	DISTRICT OF UTAH
	Plaintiff,)	Case No. 2:11-C	The state of the s
v.)	District Judge	Ted Stewart CLERK
SIEGFRIED	& JENSEN et al.	·)		
	Defendants.)		

Plaintiff/inmate, Michael V. Lujan, an inmate at Utah State Prison, submits a pro se civil rights case. Plaintiff applies to proceed without prepaying his filing fee. 2 He also moves for appointed counsel and service of process.

First, regarding his in forma pauperis application, Plaintiff has not as required by statute submitted "a certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint . . . obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined." Still, the Court grants Plaintiff's in forma pauperis application, pending receipt of his full account statement.

¹See 42 U.S.C.S. § 1983 (2011).

²See 28 *id*. § 1915.

 $^{^{3}}$ See id. § 1915(a)(2).

Second, the Court considers Plaintiff's motion for appointed counsel. Plaintiff has no constitutional right to counsel. The Court may, however, in its discretion appoint counsel for indigent inmates. The applicant has the burden of showing that his claim has enough merit to justify the Court in appointing counsel.

When deciding whether to appoint counsel, the Court studies a variety of factors, "including 'the merits of the litigant's claims, the nature of the factual issues raised in the claims, the litigant's ability to present his claims, and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims.'" Considering these factors, the Court concludes that (1) it is unclear at this time that Plaintiff has asserted a colorable claim; (2) the issues here are not complex; and (3) Plaintiff is not incapacitated or unable to adequately function in pursuing this matter. Thus, the Court denies for now Plaintiff's motion for appointed counsel.

Third, the Court denies for now Plaintiff's motion for service of process. The Court may fully screen Plaintiff's complaint at its earliest convenience and determine whether to

⁴See Carper v. Deland, 54 F.3d 613, 616 (10th Cir. 1995); Bee v. Utah State Prison, 823 F.2d 397, 399 (10th Cir. 1987).

See 28 U.S.C.S. § 1915(e)(1) (2011); Carper, 54 F.3d at 617; Williams v. Meese, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991).

⁶McCarthy v. Weinberg, 753 F.2d 836, 838 (10th Cir. 1985).

⁷Rucks v. Boergermann, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995) (citation omitted); accord McCarthy, 753 F.2d at 838-39.

dismiss it or order it to be served upon Defendants.⁸ Plaintiff need do nothing further to trigger this process.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) Plaintiff's application to proceed without prepaying his filing fee is GRANTED. So that the Court may figure Plaintiff's initial partial filing fee, Plaintiff shall have thirty days from the date of this Order to file with the Court a certified copy of his inmate trust fund account statement(s). If Plaintiff was held at more than one institution during the past six months, he shall file certified trust fund account statements (or institutional equivalent) from the appropriate official at each institution. The trust fund account statement(s) must show deposits and average balances for each month. If Plaintiff does not fully comply, his complaint will be dismissed.
- (2) Plaintiff's request for appointed counsel is DENIED; however, if, after the case is screened, it appears that counsel may be needed or of specific help, the Court may ask an attorney to appear *pro bono* on Plaintiff's behalf.

⁸See 28 U.S.C.S. § 1915A (2011).

(3) Plaintiff's motion for service of process is DENIED; however, if, after the case is fully screened, it appears that this complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted, the Court may order service of process.

DATED this 4 day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

DAVID NUFFER

United States Magistrate Judge



JUL 1 8 2011 D. MARK JONES, CLERK

WRONA LAW FIRM, P.C.
Joseph E. Wrona (#8746)
wrona@wasatchlaw.com
Todd D. Wakefield (#6354)
Wakefield@wasatchlaw.com
1745 Sidewinder Drive
Park City, Utah 84060

Telephone: (435) 649-2525 Facsimile: (435) 649-5959 Attorneys for Defendant Kenneth W. Griswold

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

BURBIDGE MITCHELL & GROSS, a general partnership,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TIMOTHY OLSON, an individual, KENNETH W. GRISWOLD, an individual, PAUL H. PETERS, an individual, C and M PROPERTIES, LLC, a Utah Limited Liability company, and JJRRNL TRUST 1998, and JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

ORDER
ALLOWING WITHDRAWAL
OF COUNSEL

Case No. 2-11-cv-00640

Judge Dee Benson

Based on reasons stated in the Motion for Order Allowing the Withdrawal of Counsel of Todd D. Wakefield, of Wrona Law Firm, P.C., and for good cause appearing, this Court enters this Order Allowing the Withdrawal of Counsel for defendant Paul H. Peters.

DATED this **18** day of July 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

The Honorable Dee Benson

Judge of the USDC for the District of Utah

FILED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH

JUL 1 8 2011

D. MARK JONES, CLERK

DEPUTY CLERK

WRONA LAW FIRM, P.C.
Joseph E. Wrona (#8746)
wrona@wasatchlaw.com
Todd D. Wakefield (#6354)
Wakefield@wasatchlaw.com
1745 Sidewinder Drive
Park City, Utah 84060

Telephone: (435) 649-2525 Facsimile: (435) 649-5959 Attorneys for Defendant Kenneth W. Griswold

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

BURBIDGE MITCHELL & GROSS, a general partnership,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TIMOTHY OLSON, an individual, KENNETH W. GRISWOLD, an individual, PAUL H. PETERS, an individual, C and M PROPERTIES, LLC, a Utah Limited Liability company, and JJRRNL TRUST 1998, and JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

ORDER
ALLOWING WITHDRAWAL
OF COUNSEL

Case No. 2-11-cv-00640

Judge Dee Benson

Based on reasons stated in the Motion for Order Allowing the Withdrawal of Counsel of Joseph E. Wrona, of Wrona Law Firm, P.C., and for good cause appearing, this Court enters this Order Allowing the Withdrawal of Counsel for defendant Paul H. Peters.

DATED this **B** day of July 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

The Honorable Dee Benson

Judge of the USDC for the District of Utah

DAVID W. SCOFIELD - 4140

PETERS | SCOFIELD

A Professional Corporation

Suite 115 Parleys Corporate Center

2455 East Parleys Way

Salt Lake City, Utah 84109

Telephone: (801) 322-2002

Facsimile:

(801) 322-2003

E-Mail: dws@psplawyers.com

FILED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH

JUL 1 8 2011 BY MARK JONES, CLERK

ALAN K. HYDE (Of Counsel, Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming)

CHRIS W. WELKER (Of Counsel, Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming)

HOLM WRIGHT HYDE & HAYS PLC

10429 South 51st Street, Suite 285

Phoenix, Arizona 85044

Telephone: (480) 961-0040

Facsimile:

(480) 961-0818 E-Mail: ahyde@holmwright.com and cwelker@holmwright.com

Attorneys for Defendants Timothy Olson, High Mountain Partners, LLC, JJRRNL Trust 1998, and C and M Properties, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

BURBIDGE MITCHELL & GROSS, a general partnership,

Plaintiff.

-VS-

ORDER ENLARGING TIME FOR **DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND** TO THE COMPLAINT

TIMOTHY OLSON, an individual; KENNETH W. GRISWOLD, an individual; PAUL H. PETERS, an individual; C AND M PROPERTIES, LLC, a Utah limited liability company; High Mountain Partners, LLC, a Utah limited liability company; JJRRNL TRUST 1998; and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:11cv00640 DB Honorable Dee V. Benson

The Court, having received and reviewed the Stipulated Joint Motion To Enlarge Defendants' Time For Response, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: that the time for Defendants Timothy Olson, Kenneth W. Griswold, Paul H. Peters, C and M Properties, LLC, High Mountain Partners, LLC and JJRRNL Trust 1998 to respond to the Complaint be, and the same hereby is, ENLARGED, to, and including, Tuesday, July 25, 2011. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant C and M Properties will not assert any defenses that might otherwise be available to it under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3) and 12(b)(4).

DONE this 15 day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

HONORABLE DEE V. BENSON

United States District Court Judge

Prepared by:

James D. Gardner (8798)
M. Lane Molen (11724)
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
15 West South Temple, Suite 1200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004
Telephone: (801) 257-1900
Facsimile: (801) 257-1800
jgardner@swlaw.com
lmolen@swlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,

FILED WITH

JUN 1 8 2011

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SAMUEL ALBA

FILED IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF UTAH

JUL 1 8 2011

DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

JESUS APARICIO and ELIZABETH APARICIO,

Plaintiffs.

٧.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; eTITLE and assignees,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING
EX PARTE MOTION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

Case No. 2:11-cv-00646

Honorable Clark Waddoups

For good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, may have an extension of time through and including August 1, 2011, to file a responsive

pleading to Plaintiffs' Complaint.

DATED this ____ day of July, 2011.

SÁMUEL ALBA

United States Magistrate Judge